GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY
LOK SABHA

STARRED QUESTION NO:371
ANSWERED ON:17.08.2001
ANTI DUMPING INVESTIGATIONS
SATYAVRAT CHATURVEDI;SUNDER LAL TIWARI

Will the Minister of COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(

(a) whether India has recorded the fourth highest number of anti-dumping investigations in the world; (

(b) if so, the number of anti-dumping cases investigated by the designated authority during the last two years; (

(c) the number of cases recommended by the Authority to levy anti-dumping duties indicating the names of countries and items in whose respect recommendations have been made; (

(d) the number of cases on which Government have imposed anti-dumping duties on the basis of recommendations of designated authority; (

(e) whether several countries have raised objections on levying of anti-dumping duties by India; (

(f) if so, the details thereof;

(g) the steps taken by the Government in response to said objections; and

(h) the extent to which domestic industries have been protected after levying of anti-dumping duties?

Answer

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY (SHRI DIGVIJAY SINGH)

(a) to (h) : A Statement is laid on the Table of the House.

STATEMENT REFERRED TO IN REPLY TO PARTS (a) to (h) OF THE LOK SABHA STARRED QUESTION NO REGARDING ANTI-DUMPING INVESTIGATIONS BY SHRI SATYAVRAT CHATURVEDI AND SHRI SUNDER LAL TIWARI.

(a) Yes, sir. As per the WTO Report, 2001 for the period 1st July, 1999 - 30th June, 2000, India stands fourth after USA, European Community and South Africa in terms of Anti-Dumping measures in force.

(b) The break-up of Anti-Dumping cases investigated by the Designated Authority during the last two years is given below:

Year	Initiation recommended+	Preliminary findings recommended+	Final findings
1999-2000	19	22	15
2000-2001	27	24	17

+ Also include cases initiated in the previous years.

(c) Anti-Dumping Duties have been recommended in 88 cases since 1992. The Anti-Dumping investigations have been carried out on 68 products involving 37 countries. The countries involved are Brazil, Mexico, Korea RP, USA, Japan, China PR, Russia Kazakhstan, Germany, Thailand, Denmark, Canada, Indonesia, Spain, Italy, Belgium, Austria, France, Taiwan, Malaysia, Ukraine, Portugal Romania, Turkey, South Africa, Macedonia, European Union, Czech. Rep., Hong Kong, Singapore, S. Arabia, Iran, Poland UK, Hungary, UAE and Nepal.

The products involved are PVC Resin, Bisphenol-A, Potassium Permanganate, Isobutyl Benzene, 3,4,5 Trimethoxy Benzaldehyde Theophylline & Caffeine, Acrylonitrile Butadiene Rubber, Sodium Ferrocyanide, Dead Burnt Magnesite, Low Carbon Ferro Chrome 8-Hydroxy Quinoline, Acrylic fibres, Catalysts, Newsprint, Purified Terepthalic Acid, Graphite Electrodes, Vitamin-C, Magnesium, Met Coke, Polystyrene, Hot Rolled Coils, Lovastatin, Ortho Chloro Benzaldehyde, Calcium Carbide, Fused Magnesia, P Tert Buty Catechol, Citric Acid, Industrial Sewing Machine Needles, Ethylene Propylene Diene Rubber, Styrene Butadiene Rubber, Hard Ferrite Ring Magnets, Poly Tetra Fluoro Ethylene, Polyester Staple Fibre, Nylon Tyre Cord Fabric, Thermal Sensitive Paper, Sodium Cyanide, Barium Carbonate, Seamless Tube, Optical Fibre, Soda Ash, Metronidazole, Oxo Alcohols, Aniline, B & W Photographic Paper, Sodium Nitrite, Pthalic Anhydride, Hydroxyl Amine Sulphate, Trimethoprim, Polyester Film, Caustic Soda, Ferro Silicon Seamless Grade Alloys etc., Strontium Carbonate, Dry Cell Batteries, Phosphoric Acid, Paracetamol, Sports Shoes, Sodium Hydrosulphite, Lead Acid Batteries, Choline Chloride, Zinc Oxide, Analgin, High Styrene Copolymer Rubber, Partially Oriented Yarn, White Cement, Hexamine, Vitamin AD3 and 2-Methyl (5) Nitro Imidazole.

(d) Anti-Dumping Duty has been imposed on 81 products since 1992.

(e) No formal objection has been lodged nor has any case been referred to the Dispute Settlement Mechanism under WTO by any country.

(f) & (g) Do not arise.

(h) Anti-Dumping Duties are imposed as a corrective measure when there are unfair trade practices. It is therefore, not feasible to assess and quantify the extent of protection provided to the domestic industry.